arXiv:hep-th/9805135v1 21 May 1998

NEW RESULTS ON THE ODDERON IN QCD[a]

R.A. Janik, J. Wosiek
Institute of Physics, Jagellonian University,
Reymonta 4,30-059 Cracow, Poland
E-mail: ufrjanik@jetta.if.uj.edu.pl, wosiek@thrisc.if.uj.edu.pl

We present our recent results on the odderon intercept in perturbative QCD, obtained through the solution of the Baxter equation and investigation of the spectrum of the relevant constant of motion.

1 Introduction

An interesting problem of QCD is to understand the behaviour of the theory in the Regge limit of large energy, fixed momentum transfer. In the Leading Logarithmic Approximation the leading pole in the $C = +1$ channel is the famous BFKL pomeron [1]. Later this was generalized to the channel odd under charge conjugation ($C = -1$) — the odderon [2]. In contrast to the BFKL case, however, the value of the intercept remained unknown despite the discovery of conformal symmetry and integrals of motion [3].

Recently substantial progress [4,5] has been made with the reduction of the problem to solving the Baxter equation

$$(\lambda+i)^3 Q(\lambda+i) + (\lambda-i)^3 Q(\lambda-i) = (2\lambda^3 + q_2\lambda + q_3)Q(\lambda) \tag{1}$$

for physical values of the constants of motion q_2 and q_3. The intercept then follows through a logarithmic derivative [5]. A number of approximation techniques for solving this equation have been tried [5,6]. In our work [8] an exact method of solving the Baxter equation for arbitrary values of the parameters q_2 and q_3 was developed. This reduced the problem to finding the physical spectrum of q_3 (q_2 is fixed by group theory to be $q_2 = h(1-h)$ where h is the conformal weight).

Ideally one could do this directly, by requiring that the solution of the Baxter equation $Q(\lambda)$, gives rise to a normalizable, single-valued wavefunction (see below). However, the explicit relation between wavefunctions and the functional forms of the solutions $Q(\lambda)$ is very indirect [5]. In particular it is still unknown what does the normalizability requirement look like in terms of $Q(\lambda)$.

In our second paper [9] we pursued a more direct approach. In this talk we would like to present the main results of these papers and give our conclusions on the intercept of the odderon.

---

[a] Presented by R.A. Janik at the DIS 98 workshop, Brussels, April 1998

2 Solution of the Baxter equation

The Baxter equation poses a number of difficulties. It is a nonlocal functional equation which possesses polynomial solutions only for integer values of the conformal weight $h = n > 3$. In contrast to the BFKL case there is no easy analytical continuation to the physically most interesting case of $h = 1/2$. Quasiclassical methods[6] involve an expansion in $1/h$ and use powerful methods to continue to $h = 1/2$, the precision is, however, difficult to control. Our aim was to find an expression for the solution directly for $h = 1/2$ in a form which could be numerically calculated to an arbitrary precision.

The starting point was the contour integral representation used in [5]:

$$Q(\lambda) = \int_C \frac{dz}{2\pi i} z^{-i\lambda-1}(z-1)^{i\lambda-1}\tilde{Q}(z) \tag{2}$$

where $\tilde{Q}(z)$ satisfies a 3^{rd} order differential equation $D\tilde{Q}(z) = 0$. The expression (2) satifies then the Baxter equation only if the contour C is choosen as such that the boundary terms arising from integration by parts cancel out. It turns out that for $h = 1/2$ it is impossible to choose such a contour because the curve always ends up on a different sheet of the Riemann surface of the integrand. To remedy this we extended the ansatz (2) to a sum of two integrals [7,8]:

$$\int_{C_I} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} K(z,\lambda)\tilde{Q}_1(z) + \int_{C_{II}} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} K(z,\lambda)\tilde{Q}_2(z) \tag{3}$$

where the contours are independent (see *e.g.* figures in [7,8]). The functions $\tilde{Q}_1(z)$ and $\tilde{Q}_2(z)$ are both solutions of $D\tilde{Q}(z) = 0$, and thus depend initially on 6 free parameters. We now impose the condition of cancellation of boundary terms. This gives 3 equations, leaving us with $6 - 3 = 3$ parameters. Now one notes[8] that since $D\tilde{Q}(z) = 0$ has a solution holomorphic at infinity, it gets integrated out to zero in each of the integrals in (3). This leaves us with only $3 - 2 = 1$ parameter which is just an irrelevant normalization. The above procedure leads therefore to a unique solution within our ansatz (3). This solution can be calculated to an arbitrary precision by including a sufficient number of terms in power series expansions of the $\tilde{Q}_i(z)$'s. This being done we will move on, in the next section, to consider the problem of finding the physical values of q_3.

3 Quantization of q_3

The wavefunction $\Psi(z, \overline{z})$ can be decomposed into the following sum:

$$\Psi(z,\overline{z}) = \sum_{i,j} \overline{u}_i(\overline{z}) A^{(0)}_{ij} u_j(z), \tag{4}$$

where $u_i(z)$ and $\overline{u}_j(\overline{z})$ are eigenfunctions (analytic in the whole complex plane apart from some cuts) of the 3^{rd} order ordinary differential operators $\hat{q}_3$ and $\hat{\overline{q}}_3$ with eigenvalues q_3 and $\overline{q}_3$. We will later also consider the $(-q_3, -\overline{q}_3)$ sector which is necessary for Bose symmetry of the wavefunction.

We impose the following obvious physical requirements for the wavefunction: (1) $\Psi(z, \overline{z})$ must be single-valued, (2) $\Psi(z, \overline{z})$ must be normalizable and (3) $\Psi(z, \overline{z})$ must satisfy Bose symmetry. The crucial assumption is the first one. It turns out that normalizability follows automatically (apart from the unphysical case of $q_3 = 0$), and Bose symmetry is easy to implement.

We must examine the requirement of single valuedness near the singular points $z = 0$ and $z = 1$ ($z = \infty$ follows — see discussion in [9]). Using the asymptotic behaviour of the u_i's near $z = 0$ ($u_1(z) \sim z^{1/3}$, $u_2(z) \sim z^{5/6}$, $u_3(z) \sim z^{5/6} \log z + z^{-1/3}$) it is clear that the coefficient matrix $A^{(0)}$ of (4) must have the form

$$A^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & \gamma \\ 0 & \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \qquad (5)$$

We thus have initially 3 parameters α, β and γ. Now we must impose the same requirement around $z = 1$. To this end we note that the solutions $v_i(z) \equiv u_i(1 - 1/z)$ have similar asymptotics around $z = 1$ to the asymptotics of u_i's around $z = 0$. One can numerically calculate the analytical continuation matrices $u_i(z) = \Gamma_{ij} v_j(z)$.

We must now reexpress the wavefunction $\Psi(z, \overline{z})$ in terms of the v_i's and require that the transformed coefficient matrix $A^{(1)} = \overline{\Gamma} A^{(0)} \Gamma$ has the same form as (5). This leads to a number of linear homogeneous equations for α, β and γ. The existence of a nonzero solution fixes both the parameters of the wavefunction α, β, γ *and* the allowed values of q_3. If the coefficient matrices $A^{(0)}$ and $A^{(1)}$ coincide, the requirement of Bose symmetry boils down to adding the corresponding wavefunction in the $(-q_3, -\overline{q}_3)$ sector [9].

4 Results

In [9] a number of possible solutions were found. The requirement of Bose symmetry picked out just those lying on the imaginary axis. We may now plug in those values of q_3 into our solution of the Baxter equation to yield the intercepts corresponding to those states. The results are summarized below:

No.	q_3	ϵ_3
1	$0.20526i$	-0.49434
2	$2.34392i$	-5.16930
3	$8.32635i$	-7.70234

We are thus led to conclude that the odderon state with the highest intercept has $q_3 = \pm 0.20526i$. The intercept of this state reads

$$\alpha_O = 1 - 0.24717\alpha_s N_c/\pi = 1 - 0.16478 \cdot 3\alpha_s N_c/2\pi \tag{6}$$

and the wavefunction parameters are $\alpha = 0.7096$, $\beta = -0.6894$ and $\gamma = 0.1457$. Recently this result has been confirmed by M.A. Braun[11] who redid his earlier work on the variational functional using our wave function, and obtained after a formidable numerical calculation $\alpha_O = 1 - 0.16606 \cdot 3\alpha_s N_c/2\pi$, in excellent agreement with our result following from the Baxter equation. A second check of our results follows from the new symmetry of the odderon discovered by Lipatov[10] which forces the wavefunction parameters to be related by $\gamma = |q_3|\alpha$ which indeed is verified in our case. Some further confirmations and results are presented in[11] and in[12].

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Polish Committee for Scientific Research under grants no. PB 2P03B19609 and PB 2P03B04412.

1. E. A. Kuraev, L. N. Lipatov and V. S. Fadin, Phys. Lett. **B60** (1975) 50; Sov. Phys. JETP **44**, 443 (1976); *ibid.* **45**, 199 (1977); Ya. Ya. Balitskii and L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **28**, 822 (1978).
2. J. Kwieciński and M. Praszałowicz, *Phys. Lett.* B **94**, 413 (1980) J. Bartels, *Nucl. Phys.* B **175**, 365 (1980).
3. L.N. Lipatov, *Phys. Lett.* B **251**, 284 (1990); **309**, 394 (1993).
4. L. N. Lipatov, Padova preprint DFPD/93/TH/70 *unpublished.*
5. L. D. Faddeev and G. P. Korchemsky, *Phys. Lett.* B **B342**, 311 (1994); G. P. Korchemsky, *Nucl. Phys.* B **443**, 255 (1995).
6. G. P. Korchemsky, *Nucl. Phys.* B **462**, 333 (1996).
7. R. A. Janik, Acta Phys. Polon. B **27**, 1819 (1996).
8. J. Wosiek and R. A. Janik, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 2935 (1997).
9. R.A. Janik and J. Wosiek, hep-th/9802100.
10. L.N. Lipatov, this conference.
11. M. A. Braun, hep-ph/9804432.
12. M. Praszałowicz and A. Rostworowski, hep-ph/9805245.